

9th June 2015

To
The Premier of New South Wales

This letter does not define the regard I hold towards the NSW Liberals and The Christian Party. The Greens; Labor and The Shooters and Fishers parties who voted against the Poles and Wires Electricity Bill that passed through parliament on the 3rd of June 2015 have failed to seize the understanding of the vast greater majority of people within NSW.

Persons who voted Liberal back into government did not do so because they want or support the 49% electricity sale. They revoted Liberal because Labor not just in State but Federal politics are very Out. They revoted Liberal because Labor parties counter sale attack was lazy and non alternative. They revoted Liberal because yourself presented as the nice guy preferred to a unknown brazen Labor leader. They revoted Liberal because in my listening to the election not one word of opposition too the sale was spoken from either the Greens or Shooters and Fishers party via the monopolised mainstream media.

The Labor leader has badly forgotten that a very strong part; a very large part of a wide range of Australians is that some things are off limits. This psyche holds the privatisation of State Buses and Rail; the privatisation of The States electricity grid particularly to a foreign entity is non negotiable. To believe that this mindset was changed from just one two month monopolised media campaign is completely wrong. People were talking anti amongst themselves, lost because the alternative solution was not presented to them.

The significant swing back to Labor should have been enough buoyance for the new Labor leader to begin his term in opposition with verment defiance. A unity call too the Greens and Shooters and Fishers parties as well as the Christian party that the people did not mandate the sale of the electricity grid and that the facts; sums and the truth that a foreign entity most likely very closely tiered into a foreign government would be the successful tender had to be fully presented to the people.

The following is the alternative that shall be how to produce immediate infrastructure revenue.

20 YEAR STATE TREASURY ELECTRICITY BONDS

My knowledge is that The State government presently receives per annum \$1.4 billion from the electricity grid. The first 20 Year State Treasury Electricity Bond therefore is in the value of \$28 billion \$8 billion more than what has been celebrated in the current alternative and holds the now and after 79 years in full public ownership.

The 20 Year State Treasury Electricity Bonds are to be trusted into the Reserve Bank of Australia; drawn upon in full transparent sums to the infrastructure companies as needed.

Every political analyst asked what alternative would capture and well the people of NSW. The alternative of foreign ownership; \$8 billion less and the surrender of 49% of annual revenue to a foreign entity through to 2114 thus surrender the sum of \$48.3 billion revenue or perhaps more exact; the surrender of \$666 million revenue every year for 69 years to a foreign entity. Or rescind The Poles and Electricity Bill for 20 Year State Treasury Electricity Bonds. 100% the latter would be the answer.

Premier. I believe concenses amongst Australian political parties is to be conservative in budget plans and to project modestly. The eras ov massive surpluses over. This mindset has merit and my understanding. I have already outlayed that the best way forward is to go into a dual currency system and establish Australia as a Continent with State and Territory sovereignty inside a Federative Australian parliament committed to the World ov AustralAsia.

The failure to conduct the instructions outlayed in the NOW document shall not prevent them. I am the Leader ov the Great Southern Land. The vision I have; the changes I have declared are what is to be. The surrender ov some near \$50 billion in State revenue over the next 99 years is a gross wrong. For a long time I have been dismayed at the State Government submittal to the Commonwealth Government regarding a second airport. I declared long ago to the Commonwealth Parliament that there is no need for a second airport and that a interstate/ mid range international airport is to be built at Bathurst. This done with a VFT express line from either Clyde; Parramatta or Penrith that terminates inside the Bathurst airport. The future-now is as well a VFT to Melbourne and to Brisbane. There is also to be built a international airstrip/port near Wollongong. There also needs to be a weir built near Maitland.

All are Now. There is no need for Commonwealth funding in a East State Revenue stays in East State charter and there certainly does not need to be Commonwealth permission. Understanding that a 2019 commencement will not have completion until at least 2050 the surrender ov the electricity revenue is not possible.

Premier. I believe ANSX was green lighted on 17th April 2015 at the COAG summit with the ASX relocating to Melbourne. I fail to understand why this has not already been transparently announced perhaps so in the deliberation that a decade or more shall be required to have such in operation. That timeframe I intend to shorten into somewhere near 2020.

Premier. I am very certain you are aware that three years ago your Police Commissioner received a document from Detective Inspector Gary Jubelin titled

A DETAIL REGARDING THE DEATHS OV
EVELYN GREENUP COLLEEN WALKER CLINTON-SPEEDY-DUROUX

The relevance to draw this into here-with is that within is a unravalleling ov the “Weavers”. 1991 was a begin year ov “The Families” One World U.S. All the Way practice. Numbers and instances confirmed that “The Family” is also the “666 Trilogy”. The numbers that connect into the Poles and Electricity Bill such as the value ov the AU too the Yen and the greenback; the close numbers ov the ASX and other exchanges on 4th June 2015 confirm completely that the sale and sale date was very pre your commencement as Premier. Everything tells me the regard is that all is on time to the next pinnacle instance. The 2019 instance ov a smuggled nuclear device blowing up the World Bank in Manila courtesy ov the CIA. Blame to be laid at either North Korea or the Muslims. The 2020 Tokyo Olympics used as a One World platform with propaganda that the South Pacific (Asia Pacific) belong under the military control ov the United States of America. Invasion/war conflict with heavy Australian commitment and possible war ‘with’ Indonesia over East Timor to escalate and result in 2022. China trapped in its options.

Obviously this can only be held in conjecture at the present time. What isn’t and won’t be in conjecture is what that has been de-woven in The DETAIL and the here-with accompanying document along with the soon to be completed Second DETAIL.

Premier. I close with advice and notice. Fail the tendering bid.

There is a political party named Free Australia Party. They are two federal elections away from taking many seats throughout the Inner West and Greater West.

The instructions and objectives declared too the Commonwealth Parliament in the **NOW** document shall be a prime platform for this party. This party has a principled charter too retake Australia and free Australia from the One World ideology and methods. Such bills as the Poles and Electricity Bill is exactly what their prime mandate is about.

Premier. My **NOW** document too The Commonwealth Parliament of Australia in 2009 first declared the changes that are going to happen. Any entity that steps in to purchase and is not already aware ov the underswell ov change in charter and constitution within Australia and outside ov Australia is a corporate collapse ov understanding.

Lease ownership and electricity revenue surrender shall not be permitted inside this change. You have a ethical and I believe corporate law responsibility to disclose the here.

Signed

Craig Azshion

The First Executive Ov Australia

30th June 2015

To
Ombudsman
New South Wales

I spoke informally to a officer named Vince at the Sydney Office on 10th June 2015. I closed informing that I shall forward the here-with accompanied which is all documentation between myself and NSW Police. Documentation that begins from me - The DETAIL received by NSW Police on 22nd May 2012 through to the final correspondence from me to Greg Edwards dated 10th November 2014.

Complaints against: NSW Police

Officers in Homicide squad. Names – Detective Inspector Gary Jubelin
Detective Sergeant Glenn Richardson
Detective Moorefoot
Detective McGregor
Detective Senior Sergeant Oldfield

The Commissioners Office
Professional Standards Unit Manager Greg Edwards

Complaint 1 against Detectives McGregor and Moorefoot

These two Detectives were the first I spoke too directly regarding Strikeforce ANCUD. This interaction took place on 16th November 2011 at Police headquarters Parramatta. Statement ov my regard concerning that interaction is declared in my 30th October 2012 communicay to Detective Inspector Gary Jubelin (1st paragraph) and furthered in my 2 October 2014 communicay to Greg Edwards (paragraph 2).

Complaint – Extreme question as to whether these Detectives relayed potentially critical information to the head ov Strikeforce ANCUD.

Subsidiary complaint is the question. Did the Bowraville Police notify the head ov Strikeforce ANCUD that a citizen (me) made a inquiry regarding the Bowraville murders on 16 November 2007 and in that immediate another member ov the public informed that a box ov needles alike to that ov a vets he had found alongside a Bowraville road and that they looked like they had been there for a long time. Such information is substantially relevant to the Bowraville murders particually the murder ov Clinton Duroux.

Complaint 2 against Detective Constable Glenn Richardson and Senior Constable Oldfield.

Issues ov complaint: I convened with Detective Inspector Jubelin and Detective Richardson on 28th November 2012. I was satisfied with what transpired in that convene which closed in agreement to re-meet in February 2013.

Refer: My communicay too Detective Inspector Jubelin 7th January 2013 (pages 1&2).

For that meeting I sought a 11am 18th February 2013 time.

Refer: My communicay too Detective Inspector Jubelin 29th January 2013 (page 1).

On the 18th February I spoke to Detective Sergeant Constable Julia Bradley and Detective Sergeant Constable Tamer Kilani who informed that Detective Inspector Jubelin was in a 12 month suspension without pay

Refer: My communicay too Detective Sergeant Richardson 8th March 2013 in which I made request to speak directly too Detective Sergeant Richardson and Detective Superintendent Willing on 20th March at 11am.

Refer: My 12th June 2013 communicay too Detective Sergeant Richardson first section.

Complaint 2A: I continually was treated as a person turning up cold. There was no acknowledgment ov the work undertaken in the DETAIL and the follow on communicays and no sense did I ever have; that I was a citizen who informed to be in the distinct belief that I may be the male parent ov Evelyn Greenup. In this actual and upon learning that the head ov the Strikeforce was in a 12 month suspension without pay, my request to talk to the head ov Homicide was very much reasonable.

That it be that on 20th March I was met by a further obstinate Detective in Senior Constable Detective Oldfield was very illid judgement in light ov sensitivity and investigative process.

Complaint 2B: Paragraph 1 in my 12th June 2013 communicay too Detective Sergeant Richardson declares that on 20th March 2013 Detective Senior Constable Oldfield himself photocopied (x3) my 8th March communicay and in that doing gave me assurance that he would immediately provide copy to Detective Richardson.

Refer: From 4th July 2013 document 1/16 (first section).

In a telephone call made to Detective Sergeant Richardson on 4th July 2013 he declared that he did not receive any letter from Detective Senior Constable Oldfield on the 8th or any time after. He also declared failing to receive my 12th June 2013 communicay from the mailroom.

Issue: Clear evidence that conduct ov internal interference and withholding ov documentation regarding Strikeforce ANCUD was.

Did Detective Sergeant Richardson address this issue with a superior or the integrity section?

* The Detectives issued in complaints 1 & 2 I brought into the attention ov the Commissioners Office in my 20th August 2013 communicay (pages 2; 3; 4). Those disclosures furthers the depth ov complaints against those Detectives.

Complaint 3: The Commissioners Office

Refer: From 4th July 2013 document 1/16; 2/16 & 3/16 that notes the interactions I have had with the Commissioners Office.

Communicays too the Commissioners Office:

30th July 2013 (x2); 20th August 2013; 27th October 2013 ;2nd December 2013; 12th March 2014.

Communicay from the Commissioners Office: 4th December 2013.

Refer: 1/16 Wednesday 31st July 2013.

When I asked the front desk receptionist for the phone number so I could phone directly she had to look down at the phone to recite the number.

Refer: 3/16 Refronted the Commissioners Office on 6th September about 12:30.

As stated in the relevant paragraph the Officer who attended me Senior Constable Thomson or Thompson was totally out of order in his process towards me. He was immediately aggressive with extremely pinned pupils. He was utterly ludicrous in his replies to my one and only repeated request that of who is the person that I need to contact at The Crime Command Centre. I am very certain that without the interjection of the desk secretary (a different one) I would have been forcibly arrested or escorted out of the building due to this officers inability to comprehend and provide a simple answer in context with the question.

Complaint 4: Professional Standards Unit Manager Greg Edwards.

Communicay from Greg Edwards. 25th September 2014.

Communicays too Greg Edwards. 2nd October 2014

10th November 2014

Note: 25th September 2014. Greg Edwards declares that he forwarded a explanation regarding his decisions to the NSW Ombudsman and Police Integrity Commission.

Upon objective reading of these correspondences I regard surely that the Ombudsman is in agreement that Greg Edwards response was badly flawed, full of falsities and total wrongs along with a failing to address particular issues closed in the action of not replying at all.

I request: Copy of the explanations by Greg Edwards too the Ombudsman and the Police Integrity Commission.

Complaint 5: Failure to provide information

Refer: Communicay from M Willing 1 November 2013.

I fully comprehend and in no dispute with the explanation to not answer the questions that are layed throughout the DETAIL and the communicays adding; that the non in everything directly relevant to the cold case tells me a great deal.

I do however remain sure that the eight questions insisted be answered in my 2nd December 2013 communicay are reasonable and permissible. I also remain that I closed that communicay with a request that is justified to have answered that is relevant back to Complaint 1 and 2.

I request: These questions be answered.

Complaint 6: Failure to reply too my questions relevant to my allegation ov lottery fraud.

In the DETAIL I provided documented evidence ov lottery results/numbers that had substantial connection to the sacrifice ov Evelyn Greenup. These are on page 60 (18-5); (18-6) and page 61 (18-9); (18-10). Concise evidence is in the From 4th July 2013 document - pages 6/16; 7/16; 8/16; 9/16 &10/16. Further references are made in the 7th January 2013 communicay on page 10/27; 24/27 556.

I requested to be informed as to what course ov action has been taken regarding this in my 2 October 2014 communicay to Greg Edwards (1st paragraph).

Objective analyse surely must have the Ombudsman agreed that the data is in the minimal extremely interesting.

I request: The fraud squad be informed.

Complaint 7: Failure to inform if any direction has been issued regarding my allegations ov fraud in cricket matches involving Australia.

In the DETAIL I exampled instances ov cricket scores and commentary that indirectly and directly are connected to the Bowraville sacrifices. These are on page 28 Coincidences -20011>; page 36 14th January 2012; page 37 1st November 2008; page 39 15th December 2010; page 40 4th April 2012; April 2012 and page 65 25 April 2012.

Further references are made in the 20th August 2013 communicay page 5; the 7th January 2013 communicay pages 3-8; 6-8; 7-8; the 12th June 2013 communicay pages 2/27; 8/27; 14/27; 16/27; 19/27 and 21/27.

I sought to have a declared position to what course ov action if any had been taken regarding this area in my 2 October 2014 communicay too Greg Edwards (final paragraph).

I acknowledge I have provided no direct documented evidence ov corruption and fraud, however I believe that the overall in everything within the documents particularly the lottery evidence coupled with the examples warrants a necessity for the fraud squad to be informed.

I request: The fraud squad be informed.

Complaint 8: Detective Inspector Jubelin

Issue: Detective Inspector Jubelin's photo in a massage parlours website.

This I brought to the Commissioners attention in my 20th August 2013 communicay.

I request: To be informed ov what is the position taken by the Commissiонер regarding this.

Reply: Mr Craig Azshion
2/28 Kindilan Place Miranda
NSW 2228

23rd August 2015

Ref: S/2015/51

To
Ombudsman NSW
Senior Investigation Officer – Policy and Legal Police Division
Kimber Swan

This correspondence refers to your 23 July 2015 letter.

I presently intend to have your decision reviewed after your response to the here-with.

The following is a layout of amendments/corrections; counter statement to your reasons of decision; a question and a request that are subsequent with the eight complaints/requests already made.

Amendments/corrections:

a/ page 2 [*that was fanatic in the mid/late 1990s*] . I wrote [mid/late 1980s.]

b/ page 2 [You also believe that factions within the 7,9 and 10 television networks were involved in "*wilful premeditative encouragement*" of the sacrifice of Evelyn.]

I did not write that I believe. I wrote [I'm in absolute certainty wilful premeditative encouragement in a direct cooperative connection of Evelyn Greenup's sacrifice was within a faction of the Catholic Diocese in Melbourne; a faction within the Daily Telegraph; a faction within the media network of Ten; Nine and Seven a faction within The NSW Labor Party and Union body along with Kings Parking and within The Australian Labor Party.]

c/ page 3 [You have also said that you believe that:

... there is a collaborated grouping,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,]

I did not state that I believe. I stated that [I am extremely certain there is a collaborated grouping,,,,,,,,,,,,]

d/ page 3 [In addition, you believe that there are "connections" between (what you allege are) fraudulent/rigged NSW Lotteries results and the sacrifice of Evelyn. You say that these connections are related to numerology.]

Nowhere have I stated that I believe the lottery results are connected or related to numerology.

e/ page 3 – ***Your contact with NSW Police***

Your chronology summary must begin with my meeting with Detective Glenn Morfoot and Detective Kann McGregor on 16th November 2011.

Your chronology summary must date the date I redirected my inquiries to the Commissioner's office (29th July 2013) and concise what resulted from this course of action.

Your chronology (paragraph 5) states that I asked NSW Police for answers to a number of questions about Strikeforce ANCUD on 16 September 2013. This must be corrected as it is ambiguous and incorrect. Fact is I printed a communicay dated 16 September 2013

To
Commander
Superintendent Willing
State Crime Command Centre

f/ page 4 – *Inspector Edwards's response to your complaint*

Edwards reply 25 September 2014. He signs out Greg Edwards

Professional Standards Manager

There is no title of Inspector in his letter head.

Second paragraph Edwards declares [I have also determined you have been advised, on a number of occasions that any further material you provide, or any contact you attempt to initiate, which does not relate to fresh information, will not be acknowledged or responded to by NSW Police.]

Before you is all the documentation leading up to 25 September 2014.

Show me where such instruction was issued once let alone on a number of occasions.

In that it be fact that no such instruction was given, it is most correct for me to say that Edwards is pursuing to lay a debasing foundation of my person which had already been offensively and ludicrously begun by Detective Richardson in the telephone call made on 5th August 2013.

I ask that in any further correspondence from you to me, that you declare evidence presented shows no such instruction was given to me from NSW Police.

You closed this section with [Inspector Edwards also advised you that he had sent a copy of his letter to our office and the Police Integrity Commission for information.]

Edwards third paragraph. [I have provided reasons for my decision to the New South Wales Ombudsman and the Police Integrity Commission for their consideration.]

I closed complaint 4 requesting copy of those letters.

g/ page 4 – *Your complaint to our office*

[It appeared to Mr Blatch that your main concern at this stage was the failure of NSW Police to respond – or adequately respond – to your correspondence.]

As acknowledged the discussion I had with Vince was informal and while it be correct a primary issue was failure and inadequate responses to my inquiries (plural not singular) of equal concern was the overwhelming documented evidence that I have provided, that extreme evil had I uncovered. I spoke of The Family alias the 666 trilogy. I distinctly recall referring the case as the case that change the double jeopardy laws in NSW. I distinctly recall talking through how News Corp's share price was \$6.66 on the 4th October 1990 the same day Evelyn Greenup was abducted and the same day Murdoch brought the Daily Mirror.

I spoke how completely certain it was from what I had de-woven that extreme wrong doing within the investigation was from day one and is ongoing. I spoke through how I had evidence of Detective Jubelin on a massage parlours 27 page website and that the parlour has 666 in its telephone number, a parlour that's website has the picture of a massage parlour employee presented in a subliminal way of her to be decapitated.

Counter Statement to your reasons ov decision

Page 5. *My assessment*

You state that the crucial issue was to determine whether NSW Police has adequately responded to the information that I provided to Strikeforce ANCUD, and to the questions that I asked Strikeforce ANCUD to answer. You declare that the responses by Detective Superintendent Willing and Edwards [are not so unreasonable that this office should request NSW Police to take some further action.]

Paragraph 3 you further define all that I had presented in my [dossier] as beliefs – [firm beliefs]. I totally refute that you can ambiguously state that what I have presented to NSW Police in context ov the Bowraville sacrifices is based on beliefs that I have.

Page 71 The DETAIL. I define what the DETAIL provides and establishes for NSW Police in one sentence. [This Detail opens a entire new circlement ov questioning and approach.]

Obviously my opinion is from the person who has compiled the evidence not just in the main document but the evidence in all the communicays and therefore have sentiment attached. I surely however must be recognised as a person that can present objectively and analytically. There is no way that a Ombudsman officer can define what I have presented as simply a set ov beliefs. What I have presented must be recognised by the Ombudsman as containing startling and very definitive circumstantial and documented evidence that in any persons objective analyse has established;- that the Bowraville murders were sacrifices with a overwhelming documented connection to a 666 trilogy ov extremely high profile persons and institutions with one ov those institutions been NSW Police.

I have as yet not read any ov the transcripts ov the court trials that have attempted to convict Hart. I hazard that not one tier ov prosecution questioning was directed at Hart in the context ov was he a instrument in a 666 trilogy. Nor do I suspect questioning was structured that Hart may be a member ov a syndication ov paedophiles that have/are grooming the Central and North Coast ov NSW ; a syndication that has been declared as existing by Commander Willing in statements concerning the disappearance ov William Tyrell.

While it is that I declared in certainty that I held Hart guilty in the 2012 DETAIL document, much has transpired that certainly has me in the definite thinking that Hart may be not guilty.

The critical question is.

Has NSW Police conducted any lines ov investigation from any ov the evidence in the [dossier]?

I entrap that question in a analytical structure done in discern that a position ov unconnected coincidences or irrelevance cannot be sustained in any objective forum or court when subjecting the question into the 666 reel ov evidence.

The 666 reel ov evidence goes like this.

Has NSW Police recognised the facts that on 4th October 1990 News Corp's medium share price was \$6.66; and Rupert Murdoch brought the Daily Mirror with himself on the front page. That on page 4 was Nolene Hogan in the Midday studio ov Channel Nine been interviewed by Ray Martin wearing a top with a satanic sun symbol and extracts ov the interview defining women as "creatures" and that she wanted Paul not to return to her and the children but to return to the "fold".

That PJ Greenup's address is No 6 and his telephone number is 6566 5666; that the Bowraville Land Council Office address was no 66; that the Bowraville murders became Daily Telegraph profile news in the time that federal Labor was back in office and the incoming Pope was number 266. That recognised is the Bowraville region was lorded over by the Catholic Church; that the Popes staff is layed in the number 666; that the ABC has a network station dialled as 666; that the house in which Evelyn was abducted was No 6; that Michelle Stadham (Evelyn's Aunty) defined Evelyn as a "sweet thing"; that the law firm AAR that volunteered it's services in 2010 has the telephone number 9230 4966; that the 27th April 1991 edition ov the Daily Telegraph a story that the South Melbourne basketball team was 660/1 to win; that Evelyn's remains found on 27th April 1991 and in the first Monday edition ov the Daily Telegraph after the 27th, that on page 22 was a section titled Commuter Club – The Lucky Winners, that one ov the five winning tickets was number 866620 and in that same edition the NSW lottery draw for lottery number 4037 jackpot prize ov \$1,000,000 was not won in ticket number 066696. That same edition also has the story titled Aboriginal bones will be sent home. This is the story ov how the University of Edinburgh is to send aboriginal bones back to Australia.

The 666 reel also includes the fact my NAB ATM card is numbered 560 251 236 556 1666. NAB is owned by the Bank of Scotland.

The lottery reel is full ov documented evidence that connects the lotteries with the sacrifice ov Evelyn Greenup and that a 666 trilogy ov corruption was at play in gross subliminal conduct that celebrated Evelyn's sacrifice.

Other reels include documented evidence that connects the number 27 too the Bowraville sacrifices and myself. Or the federal Labor reel that has in part former Immigration Minister Bowen on ABC radio "as a result ov Bobby's addiction to lying", been relevant in the fact that it be impossible to have the minister in any relevant context to that radio interview and that the Gunbayaan people had two Kings one ov them been named King Bobby. This federal Labor reel also includes the fact that Bob Hawke was Prime Minister in 1990 his Minister for aboriginal affairs was Gerrard (Gerry) Leslie Hand and that in 2012 there was repeatedly played on SBS World music the rhythm song Me and Yoko Ono Standing on The Corner Waiting for Gerry to land. I definitely shall not leave out the Japanese reel.

A Ombudsman officer that does not see the necessity to have answered the above critical question and to conduct a field ov questions at NSW Police coming from my eight compaints is negligent and entered a status ov corruption.

If the answer is that NSW Police have not undertaken any investigations from the documented evidence provided in my [dossier] and the responses too my eight complaints that must be responded in written form are implausible; then the Ombudsman must declare that the responses from NSW Police are lured in wrongful conduct.

This is quantified in the fact that the head ov the investigation team into the disappearance ov William Tyrell is Detective Inspector Jubelin.

The Ombudsman must do all in its powers to have the Bowraville sacrifices brought before a Royal Commission via a Special Report to Parliament.

**From
Craig Azshion**

On 24th August 2015 received a A4 envelope addressed

To
Ombudsman NSW
Senior Investigation Officer – Policy and Legal Police Division
Kimber Swan

From: Craig Azshion

Sign:

7th September 2015

To
Ombudsman NSW
Manager of the Police Division
Ms Kirsteen Banwell

My request for assistance from Ombudsman NSW has been before Kimber Swan.

Ref: S/2015/51

There are four correspondences between Kimber and myself and a dossier of documents that is in total approximately two hundred pages.

Accompanied here-with is another dossier containing three documents.

The issues of complaint against NSW Police are extremely serious.

I understand the policy of the Ombudsman is that a decision can only be reviewed once.

Relevant extracts from NSW Ombudsman website – Complaints

How the complaint system works

The complaint system is more than a disciplinary process for individual officers who have acted wrongly. It is also about making sure police act reasonably and acknowledge when things could have been handled better.

Why complain?

Complaints can identify underlying causes of misconduct and can be used to inform changes or improvements to existing police procedures and training.

Page 2

- report to Parliament if there are issues of significant public interest.

The Ombudsman may also conduct direct investigations into complaints and police investigations. When we finish a direct investigation, we give the final report to complainants, the Commissioner and Minister for Police.

Page 3

Our role is to act impartially and in the public interest. This means we do not advocate for complainants or police.

REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Part 1: Issues arising from the original decision by NSW Ombudsman.

From your General information brochure (May 2008)

Guarantee of Service

We Will:

- add value through our work

Our Values

We Will:

- provide the same high quality service that we encourage other organisations to offer.

It took Ombudsman NSW 21 days to reciprocate. (Within same brochure declared is, inquiries shall be responded too within ten working days). I am not in any way overly bothered by this, as Kimber expressed his apology and understandable explanation. However I consider Kimber should have responded in a immediate with a standard letter ov acknowledgment that as well informed a time frame he needed, so to analyse all the documentation before making his decision.

What is ov my concern is that Kimber sent his 23 July 2015 letter to the wrong address. He sent his response to a postal address I informed in the main document - The DETAIL dated 2012.

The correct address I provided at the end ov my 30 June 2015 letter.

I accept no-one in any position is a computer. Simple errors under stress are often the more common error. Yet I am in question ov this mistake. Not as been deliberate but in the question ov competency by a Senior Officer that surely recognised; that what was before him was more than a standard type complaint; that what was before him was a dossier that in total, was in subject ov extreme issues against NSW Police.

The fact the correct address is directly after Complaint 8 and that in no part did Kimber reference any ov the eight complaints, has me in question as to the extent and depth ov Kimbers reading. As addressed in my 23 August 2015 letter, this was not Kimber's only error; errors that question bias and his analytical capacity are evident.

Firstly he signatures both correspondences with: Senior Investigation Officer

Policy and Legal Police Division

Surely Ombudsman NSW has only one policy. The policy ov been apolitical and in the evidence that wrongful conduct is found or suspected to take the necessary steps to have these addressed and if justified done within the full capacity ov the Ombudsman Act.

Kimbers chronology [Your Contact with NSW Police] (page 3).

[The significant aspects of that chronology can be summarised as follows:]

- The failure to not chronicle the initial contact with NSW Police.
- The failure to not chronicle the date I redirected my inquiries to the Commissioner's Office.
- The ambiguous description of my contact with NSW Police on 16 September 2013.
- The failure to not chronicle my formal complaint against NSW Police.

As result of these failures, Kimber's chronology summary cannot be recognised as a chronology summary. Surely this agreed.

Kimber in reference too Professional Standards Manager Greg Edwards letter (page 4). Kimber titles Greg Edwards as Inspector Edwards yet he himself in title has not.

Kimber repeatedly in his 23 July letter defined the evidence within my documents as [beliefs] done in a way of stating what I presented were beliefs. Nowhere within the documentation relevant to the Bowraville sacrifices do I use the phrase - I believe. I hold this as tantamount to attempting to condescend my person and discourse the document and circumstantial evidence.

For Kimber to concise the issue of lottery fraud and the connection of the lottery fraud to the death/sacrifice of Evelyn Greenup, by stating, that I believed that the connection was to do with numerology is completely false. Tantamount to attempting to discourse documented evidence within a ambiguous discredit of my person.

PART 2: Why the Ombudsman must overturn the decision to not conduct actions/inquiries at NSW Police.

Must Refer: The eight complaints in my 30th June 2015 letter and my 23rd August 2015 letter.

I have spoken to Kimber twice via telephone. Kimber informed me of the decision made and that he did not consult any other Ombudsman officers in the making of his decision. He also answered that he did not make any inquiries to NSW Police in regard to any of my complaints.

The latter left me in astounded disbelief. The failure to recognise Complaint 1 as a complaint that must be inquired upon is beyond my present understanding.

It must be learnt if the Bowraville Police Station firstly collected the vet like box of needles and secondly did they relay that information to the head of Strikeforce ANCUD. It must be learnt if Officers Moorfoot and McGregor relayed that same potentially critical information to the head of Strikeforce ANCUD and if not; did Detective Inspector Jubelin upon learning such from my 30th October 2012 communication conduct the correct course of action.

Complaint 1 becomes tangible with Complaint 2 as Complaint 2B lays out evidence that within the Detectives in Homicide and the mailroom at police headquarters, there has been the conduct of internal interference in communications addressed to Strikeforce ANCUD and its (purported) investigation officers.

It must be learnt if Detective Sergeant Richardson addressed the issue that mail addressed to him was not received from the mailroom nor received from a fellow Officer within Homicide with an internal affairs superior.

Complaint 1&2:

End of my 2nd December 2013 communication to R Johnson Office of the Commissioner of Police, I inquired about my informal complaints against Detective Sergeant Richardson; and Detectives McGregor and Moorfoot. Those informal complaints are in my 20th August 2013 communication to the Commissioner. (Must Refer)

I asked: Has the Commissioner acted on that information in accord with Police Act 1990 No47 Part 9 173 (4).

I have received no answer to this question.

Surely the Ombudsman agrees it is correct that I have learnt that did the Commissioner/Commissioners office act on these informal complaints as in accord with the relevant section of the Police Act.

Complaint 3:

I want the correct superior known to the manner Officer Thomson conducted himself towards me on 6th September 2013, done in like as above.

Complaint 4:

I informed my regard to Edwards response in my 23rd August letter to Kimber and that I regarded Edwards is in the pursuit of laying a debasing foundation against my person.

I requested Kimber to recognise that the declaration by Edwards [I have also determined you have been advised, on a number of occasions, that any further material you provide, or any contact you attempt to initiate, which does not relate to fresh information, will not be acknowledged or responded to by NSW Police.] is false.

I re-request that the Ombudsman state that from the information and documents provided, that there was no such instruction.

Edwards third paragraph. [I am of the view the actions of Detective Inspector Jubelin, in not providing any response, was appropriate.]

This was in reference to my 13 March 2014 communication to Detective Inspector Jubelin.

Have noted: That communication I incorrectly sent dated 13 March 2013. This I sought to remedy by informing such in second paragraph second page of my 19th September 2014 communication to Customer Assistance Unit NSW Police.

The Customer Assistance Unit corresponded, 22 September 2014, stating that my information has been recorded and sent to the State Crime Command – Homicide Squad. The Professional Standards Unit is at State Crime Command. Edwards would surely have received copy of my 19th September communication before being able to respond, thus any confusion in asking Detective Inspector Jubelin about the relevant communication is non.

Further is fact: On 12 August 2013 there was a Justice Rally for the Bowraville Families outside the NSW Parliament. I saw Detective Inspector Jubelin watching this and approached him. Over our discussion he instructed me to send all the communications I had sent to Headquarters since his suspension, to send after he returned to duty which was towards the end of February 2014.

Refer: Accompanying document: **THE SECOND DETAIL** page 3.

Surely the Ombudsman agrees firstly; that my request was simple and appropriate particularly in being informed that Detective Inspector Jubelin served a twelve month suspension for what reason I did not know; except that when I questioned Detective Inspector Jubelin about this at the rally he was baulked and defensive, stating that he was not suspended but in a six month leave.

Secondly the fact that Detective Inspector Jubelin instructed me to forward copy of all my communications since his suspension further erodes Edwards [determined] review of what I had been instructed by NSW Police and the [view] taken by Edwards that Detective Inspector Jubelin's decision to not respond was appropriate.

Edwards referred to the three Bowraville murders as [apparent murders].

This must be recognised as a startling statement. From my research never before have the police referred the three Bowraville deaths as anything other than three murders.

What was the cause to have a declaration from NSW Police that the three Bowraville murders may not in fact have been murders?

It must be understood that I did not in the first instance bring the dossier of documents specifically the DETAIL into the attention of the Commissioner's Office.

Detective Inspector Jubelin did within the first six months upon receiving the DETAIL.

In this fact Detective Inspector Jubelin/Strikeforce ANCUD had ample time to determine if the information I had provided was minimal or not.

Surely the Ombudsman recognises that if the information was of minimal value to the investigation Detective Inspector Jubelin/Strikeforce ANCUD would not have forwarded it to the Commissioner's office and I would have been informed of such.

It must be recognised that in the meeting with Detective Inspector Jubelin and Detective Sergeant Richardson; Detective Inspector Jubelin in answer to my question - is the ongoing investigation relevant/inclusive of information within the DETAIL, that Detective Inspector Jubelin answered with a very affirmative "Yes".

Refer: 7th January 2013 communication to Detective Inspector Jubelin page 1 point 2.

In these facts it is further ludicrous for Edwards to declare that the investigation team assessed my material as being of [minimal assistance] to the investigation. This is further established with the fact that M Willing Detective Superintendent Commander Homicide Squad made no such opinion, only taking the position that it is not in the public interest to provide information.

Refer: 7th January 2013 communication to Detective Inspector Jubelin page 1 point 4.

Game over: Edwards third paragraph. He closes his judgement in a absurd false summary of facts. Doing such as I have already alleged in the pursuit to debase my person. He implies that I, in my telephone call to Detective Sergeant Richardson on 5th August 2013 may have been frustrated due to Detective Superintendent Willing's correspondence on 1 November 2013 and the fact that Detective Inspector Jubelin did not reciprocate my 13 March 2014 communication. That this impacted on me, implying that I was the unstable caller too the professional ethical police officer.

Refer: I concised this telephone call in my 20th August 2013 communication to the Commissioner page 3 paragraph 2.

My response communication to Edwards (2 October 2014).

In the close of my second paragraph first page, I attacked Detective Superintendent Willing's letter by alleging he was in the duty of not protecting the public interest but protecting the interest of [The Family].

(Accompanied document **THE 666 TRILOGY ALIAS THE FAMILY**).

To dismiss my allegation as a conspiracy tilt with no substance connection to NSW Police and the prominents of the Australian aborigine network is not possible.

Refer: accompanied document – **The Second DETAIL** page 7 **22-7-14**: NITV ; page 9 bottom section and page 10 top section.

Further of major alarm is in that page 10 top section. I have Evelyn Greenup's aunt been quoted describing Evelyn "**as a gentle sweet thing**". Refer: DETAIL page 11.

Refer: **THE SECOND DETAIL** page 5 **30-3-14** Channel Nine.

Evelyn's aunt's name when Evelyn was abducted was Michelle Stadhams.

In page 10 which is briefing the interview program done in 2014 on NITV she was in the name Michelle Jarrett.

Refer: Accompanied document **Colleen Ann WALKER** 2&3 The Ghosts of Bowraville.

Story about the Bowraville murders. Told was that Police Commissioner Peter Ryan from England appointed his Deputy Commissioner and Head of Special Operations to set up Strikeforce ANCUD. His name? Deputy Commissioner Jarrett.

Further to this massive issue is within my 20th August 2013 communication too the Commissioner. Page 3 top paragraph. This is a concise of a call made to Detective Sergeant Richardson that included my deep concern for a boy reported missing in the Northern Rivers and that the current Bishop of Lismore is a Geoffrey Jarrett and that the present Nambucca land council Chairperson is a Bridget Jarrett who began her tenure in the immediate after the quashed appeal for re-trial.

Refer: Same document page 14 – Living Black episode.

Evelyn's aunty is named Michelle Straede.

Refer: Same document page 15. Karla Grants fourth question.

“You have worked on this case for some 10 years now. You must have become very close to the family. What has it been like for them”?

Detective Inspector Jubelin in answer only describes the victim's relatives as been within a small community. He phrases this four times yet in answer to Karla's fifth and final question Detective Inspector Jubelin phrases **“I am confident – and something that I have said to all the relatives of the children – is that we are never going to give up on the investigation”**. Further in his reply. **“I've assured the family that we won't give up on it. We gain strength, from the police point of view, in the fact that the family are still keen and still championing the cause that we solve this matter.”**

Karla Grant closes the interview with – **“Detective Inspector, thanks very much for joining us.”**

Further fact is: Before the initial direct contact with Officers Moorfoot and McGregor I made a call to Police Headquarters asking to speak to Detective Inspector Jubelin. I was informed that he no longer was in Homicide; that he was in Gangs. That call I do not have the exact date except that it completely and certainly was before the 16th November 2011.

I recall ov around this time listening to ABC radio news. They aired a interview with Detective Inspector Jubelin outside Court, talking ov a verdict handed down in a long going investigation.

In thus it would be reasonable to estimate that Detective Inspector Jubelin would not have been in Homicide for as far back as late 2008.

I also learnt and this was the reason why my initial direct contact was not with Detective Inspector Jubelin, that Detective Inspector Jubelin was ov around 2011, in a twelve month leave with pay.

I regard it necessary for the Ombudsman to confirm:

The dates and status ov that twelve months leave and reasons for.

Learn the date Detective Inspector Jubelin transferred out ov Homicide and was it in his want to do such.

Learn if he went directly into Gangs.

Learn the date he returned to Homicide.

Learn the status of his leave in 2013.

I have established that there is a divide ov interests within NSW Police regarding Strikeforce ANCUD with systemic interests that can easily be dated right back into the years 1990/91.

I established from my telephone calls too Detective Sergeant Richardson that despite Detective Sergeant Richardson presenting himself as a Detective on Strikeforce ANCUD in the meeting on 28th November 2012; he in those calls declared more than once, that he never was on Strikeforce ANCUD and in my allegation too Detective Sergeant Richardson that there never was a Strikeforce ANCUD he gave no reply.

I remain it be necessary for the Ombudsman to have Edwards answer.

Who were/are the officers on Strikeforce ANCUD and are they the same team ov investigators that determined that the information I provided was ov minimal assistance?

Edwards informed that he provided reasons to his decision to the NSW Ombudsman and the Police Integrity Commission.

I remain in request for copy ov that letter.

Complaint 5:

My 2nd December 2013 communicay to R Johnson Office of the Commissioner of Police, is where I accepted/understood the decision taken by Commander Willing to not provide answers to questions that are throughout the principal document and follow through communicays.

In that letter I held the right to have answered eight questions.

Commander Willing recognises permission to release information is possible.

Surely the Ombudsman recognises that these questions are fair and reasonable.

Complaint 6:

I requested that the fraud squad be informed ov the documented evidence that I had uncovered regarding Lottery fraud. I am in the intention ov bringing this issue into the attention ov the correct police department.

Complaint 7:

Intend to do same as Complaint 6.

Complaint 8: Technically the complaint is the failure to be informed by the Commissioner's office as to the position taken, upon learning that a Detective Inspector within NSW Police is shown on a massage parlour website.

The critical issue ov the complaint is: This is Detective Inspector Jubelin, the Detective Inspector in charge ov Strikeforce ANCUJ. That the massage parlours website is 27 pages (a number that I have established is linked to the sacrifice ov Evelyn Greenup and myself), that the prime colour ov the website is pink (Evelyn's favourite colour); that the massage parlours telephone number is 9660 0666 there-thus contains two overwhelming connected prime numbers in the sacrifice ov Evelyn Greenup.

Refer: Page 3 third paragraph and page 4 ov my 23rd August letter.

The issue becomes even more critical in the fact that Detective Inspector Jubelin is in charge ov the investigation into the disappearance ov William Tyrell. (Strikeforce Rosann).

Established is fact: Withholding and distorting information from me to NSW Police within NSW Police has been pursued and conducted.

The Ombudsman must learn if the Commissioner himself is aware that Detective Inspector Jubelin is shown on the Kings Court Massage Parlour website and what is the position taken by the Commissioner.

PART 3: To have Known

I have learnt within the Ombudsman Act 1974 No 68 there is Part 3B Aboriginal programs 25N Reporting on Aboriginal programs.

Refer: 20th August 2013 communicay to the Commissioner. Page 4 second paragraph. I describe the events that took place on the 8th July 2013 while I was waiting in the foyer ov Police Headquarters for a pre requested appointment. While seated I witnessed a man from police headquarters talking with a aboriginal woman ov late twenties in professional attire. They were seated in discussion and in close, the man handed the woman a A4 envelope that looked to contain about 30 pages. He spoke aloud only these words – "**Oh its Newcastle**".

The woman was very thankful and left with the A4 envelope.

I do not know who the woman was. Perception she was not connected directly to the victims families. Perhaps she was Bridgett Jarrett, perhaps she was a aboriginal police officer from the Nambucca region but if that be, then why were they convened in the foyer; she may be in connection to either NITV or Living Black or on one ov the Ombudsman programs.

PART 4: Conclusion

Within the entirety of all the documents presented there are further enormous issues that need to be investigated/inquiry held.

One way or the other, the issues concerning Strikeforce ANCUD shall go public.

Failure to not make the inquiries does not compute.

I regard the correct course of action for the Ombudsman is to make those inquiries within a direct investigation. The inquiries must be responded by NSW Police and those responses provided to me. If the responses are non-sensical and give only more certainty for cause to determine that lewd and criminal conduct has/is ongoing within NSW Police, concerning Strikeforce ANCUD; it is I hold; the duty of Ombudsman NSW to present a Special Report to Parliament in the request for a Royal Commission.

From
Craig Azshion

On September 2015 received a A4 envelope addressed

To
Ombudsman NSW
Manager of the Police Division
Ms Kirsteen Banwell

From: Craig Azshion

Sign:

23-11-2015
Refer: S/2015/51

To
Kirsteen Banwell
A/Division Manager (Police)
For the Acting Ombudsman

Refer: Your correspondence dated 12/11/15.

The more than eight weeks it took for your decision did achieve a hopefulness within my wait; yet no surprise or shocked disappointment became from the reading.

I could express through at least six pages the ludicrous; the incriminate ov your reply and explanation as to why Ombudsman NSW [remains of the view that a investigation into my complaint is not required]. Projecting the scenario ov a open forum or Court and I began to rhetoric those at least six pages; the adjudicator I have no doubt would halt me and state these words: -That won't be necessary Mr Azshion -.

From
Craig Azshion

23-11-2015
Refer: S/2015/51

To
Ombudsman NSW

This is a Freedom of Information request under the Government Information Public Access Act 2009, for copy of letter addressed To: Ombudsman NSW

From: Professional Standards Unit Manager Greg Edwards
State Crime Command NSW Police

I further request to be informed if there are any other letters/faxes/e-mails from NSW Police to Ombudsman NSW that are in subject of myself and or S/2015/51 and if there are any letters/faxes/e-mails from any other government body to Ombudsman NSW that are in subject of myself and or S/2015/51.

If there is, I here-with make a further Freedom of Information request under the Government Information Public Access Act 2009 for copy of such.

From
Craig Azshion

Contact Address
Mr Craig Azshion
2/28 Kindilan Place
Miranda NSW 2228

23-11-2015

Refer: D/2014/318170 & CAS-391648-MOJ5

To
Brooke Walton
Customer Assistance Unit

I informed in my 19th September 2014 letter my intention to forth action to The Integrity Section of NSW Police and too The Minister for Police. Neither have I as yet commenced.

I request that I be informed the correct titles and postal addresses ov these two offices and the title and address ov the fraud squad.

In the recent six months I have pursued issues that I briefed in my letter with Ombudsman NSW. This concluded with a review rejection to conduct a inquiry/investigation.

I only anticipate similar from all three offices.

Issues within the DETAIL are extremely political. The complaints I layed against NSW Police that I brought into the attention ov Ombudsman NSW are extremely serious.

I know many things for certain regarding the NSW Police Force including that I do not know what type ov servant to the force yourself is. I am presently mid read through the book To Protect and to Serve by Tim Priest and Richard Basham. Within this read I have further recognised that one ov the best approaches to achieve justice; to end a sickness is from within. Accompanied for your keeping and discretion is all the documentation between myself and Ombudsman NSW.

From
Craig Azshion

23-11-2015

To
Chair, Committee on the Office of the
Ombudsman and the Police Integrity Commission

The last six months I have pursued due process with Ombudsman NSW.

The case subject is the Bowraville murders. Case reference number is: S/2015/51.

This concluded with a review rejection by Kirsteen Banwell A/Division Manager (Police)

For The Acting Ombudsman dated:12-11-15.

Obviously in the fact of this here letter, I regard the decisions rested on by Ombudsman NSW, to be wrong. My use of the word wrong is a understatement.

I forth this letter understanding that your seating; your committee cannot review the decisions, that only a review and monitor of the Ombudsman's functions is within your scope. I do herewith so to have all directions of process conducted in preparation for public exposure.

I believe it reasonable due to the fact, that presently I am just a individual with limited office and monetary resources and that the case is inclusive of seven documents that total near two hundred and twenty pages, along with the pages of complaints made and the Ombudsman's reciprocations, that it is fair duty for your committee to obtain copy of all.

From
Craig Azshion

Contact Address
Mr Craig Azshion
2/28 Kindilan Place
Miranda NSW
2228

14-12-2015
Refer: S/2015/51

To
Kimber Swan
Senior Investigation Officer (Policy and Legal)
Police Division

Refer your 30 November 2015 letter.

I recognise Section 19 has Ombudsman NSW in the position to not forth copy ov the documentation that I requested. I regard however that I am still entitled to be provided copy under the GIPA in the following compromise to my original requests. In such I here-with make application for a review and request the following under the GIPA.

Regarding copy ov letter To: Ombudsman NSW

From: Professional Standards Unit Manager Greg Edwards
State Crime Command NSW Police

From all the documentation between myself and NSW Police along with the counter evidence provided in my original filing ov complaint and in my appeal for review; it is criminal for Ombudsman NSW to fail to acknowledge; that the explanations presented by Greg Edwards in his letter to me are ludicrously flawed and contemptuous towards my person.

This application is to discover if Greg Edwards has filed a letter to Ombudsman NSW that further slanders my person and pursuit for justice with false records/statements.

Refer: GIPA Section 73 (2); Section 74 and Section 80 (i).

Within these GIPA sections' terms, I regard that I am within my rights to obtain or read copy.

Regarding [to be informed if there are any other letters/faxes/e-mails from NSW Police to Ombudsman NSW that are in subject ov myself and or S/2015/51 and if there are any letters/faxes/e-mails from any other government body to Ombudsman NSW that are in subject ov myself and or S/2015/51. If there is, I here-with make a further Freedom of Information request under the Government Information Public Access Act 2009 for copy ov such.]

I compromise that request under GIPA Section 80(g).

I request to be informed if there are any letters/faxes/e-mails from NSW Police to Ombudsman NSW that are in the subject ov myself and or S/2015/51 and if so from which department ov NSW Police. Secondly I request to be informed if there are any letters/faxes/e-mails from any other government agencies/departments/parties to Ombudsman NSW that are in subject ov myself and or S/2015/51 and if so from which government agency/department/party.

From
Craig Azshion

14-12-2015
File Ref: D15/36698

To
Lee Evans MP
**Chair Committee on The Ombudsman, The Police Integrity Commission and
The Crime Commission**

Thankyou for your 30 November 2015 letter.

I searched the Ombudsman Act 1974. I found Section 31G relevant to my wanted request that the committee obtain copy ov relevant documentation. I can only fore suggest that if this section enables the committee to obtain, it would be prudent to do so.

I make a further request in limited understanding ov your committee's boundaries. That I be advised as to the practice of the NSW Legislative Assembly; in how a member ov the public is able to present documentation that is in subject ov wrongful conduct by a government agency when in this instance it is NSW Police and Ombudsman NSW.

Further - That a recommendation be made, to direct me to any select committees ov the NSW Parliament or independent bodies that yourself and or the Committee know/regard is within their charter/interest.

From
Craig Azshion

14 December 2015

To
The Honourable James Wood AO, QC

My name is Craig Azshion.

NITV News on late September presented a story regarding the Bowraville murders informing that Justice Wood was reviewing the appeal process to enable a retrial. They informed that you were going to announce your directive on 19th November. No story in the subject was on NITV news on the 19th. From my only other source of information; the relevant websites, I have found no such announcement that yourself was to conduct such or that a directive has been made.

My recent seven months has been consumed with due process to Ombudsman NSW in subject of the Bowraville murders. Issues of complaint primarily was the manner in which information I presented to NSW Police from May 2012 to Strikeforce ANCUD/Detective Inspector Gary Jubelin and the Commissioners Office was enacted upon. All became doubly trepidating and give cause for any well minded citizen to be very concerned, was when I received a review refusal from the Acting Ombudsman Kirsteen Banwell to investigate the complaints filed. Accompanied is the prime documents between myself and Ombudsman NSW along with copy of a website page from Kings Court Massage Parlour.

Please excuse me for this brazen close but I require to learn your response to this here in a immediate time. I shall await until February 4th 2016 for a reply, informing that I am most prepared too present the relevant documentation that was too NSW Police, wanting so in a direct introduction. I choose to inform that I was before Justice David Kirby in The NSW Supreme Court as a Litigant in Person (Azshion -v- North Coast Area Health Service) confident that he shall have immediate recall of that hearing and a well regard.

From
Craig Azshion

Contact:
Mr Craig Azshion
2/28 Kindilan Place
Miranda NSW 2228

22nd February 2016

**Open Letter to
Pathfinders Australia**

From your website I learnt that The Honourable James Wood is your official patron.
I wrote to his Honour (accompanied). His Honour failed to reciprocate.
I sincerely expect that your directors and immediate staff shall be extremely alarmed.
I shall await till April 2nd for a statement from Pathfinders Australia, declaring the position
taken; regarding the failure of Pathfinders Australia official patron to reciprocate.
(Within His Honours website is a photo totally relevant to the Bowraville murders.)

**From
Craig Azshion**

**Contact:
Mr Craig Azshion
2/28 Kindilan Place Miranda
NSW 2228**

14 -1- 2016
Ref: GI/2015/34

To Acting Ombudsman
Professor John McMillan

Request for internal review under GIPA.

Accompanied is copy of the applications made and Ombudsman replies.
Relevant case is S/2015/51.

Concisely it is that Ombudsman NSW firstly refused my request under Section 19 Schedule 2 of GIPA "*The office of Ombudsman – complaint handling, investigative and reporting functions*". Ombudsman NSW further refused citing Section 43 of GIPA. I recognise both Sections as enabling Ombudsman NSW to take a position of refuse. I expressed in my restructured application I have grounding under GIPA in Section 73 (2); Section 74 and Section 80 (i).

Firstly I regard that I have much vindication and am within GIPA rights to obtain or read copy of the relevant document that been; provided copy or read of the letter:

To: Ombudsman NSW
From: Professional Standards Unit Manager Greg Edwards
State Crime Command NSW Police

Within the S/2015/51 documentation I clearly establish that extreme questionable judgements of decisions were made and continue to be made by NSW Police in the pursuit of justice. I overwhelmingly establish in my S/2015/51 request for review, that the letter from Greg Edwards to myself is based in ludicrous explanations that are guided in contempt towards my pursuit for justice and my person, specifically my stated declarations of interactions I had with members of NSW Police. I prior requested Ombudsman NSW to acknowledge that the declaration by Greg Edwards;- that I was instructed on numerous occasions by officers of NSW Police; that unless I provide new information I shall be ignored was never such and if contrary is evident in any part of the documents, for Ombudsman NSW to state such. This request was ignored by Ombudsman NSW.

I remind. Ombudsman NSW made the decision to conduct no investigation regarding S/2015/51 there thus the *investigative* clause of Schedule 2 is non. Same is for *reporting functions*. Fact is. The requested letter was before Ombudsman NSW before the complaint was made and was defined by Senior Investigative Officer Kimber Swan as a letter providing [information] not what would be deemed correct terminology - a letter providing an explanation as to decisions made by NSW Police.

I the GIPA requester am not a third party indirectly attempting to access information. I the GIPA requester am the filer of the complaint – Case number S/2015/51. Fact that no investigation was conducted has there then only two determining parties; myself and Ombudsman NSW. In such the letter is a subsidiary document apart from the complaint.

I the GIPA requester am requesting justified not in baseless accusation at the probability that the letter contains subject matter that is completely irrelevant to the subject of complaint but requesting, weighed with substantiated evidence at the high probability that there is subject matter detriment to my person and there thus irrelevant to the subject of complaint. In all I regard the letter to be outside *complaint handling*.

I remind of my prior declared stated certainty - One way or the other S/2015/51, shall become understood within the conscious of the general public.

I have already established within a relevant administrative department the nickname for Ombudsman NSW. The nickname Aunty Ombudsman of The Family.

My understanding is that it is not and cannot be mandatory to refuse my GIPA request.

If it be that you Professor John McMillan Acting Ombudsman NSW, determine to personalise S/2015/51 and deepen Ombudsman NSW's failed impartiality by maintaining the decision to deny access to the above relevant document then so be it; for I have prior expressed - I request access only too learn that within Greg Edwards letter there is further falsity and slander towards my person and my declared statements in the pursuit of justice.

Regarding the second of my GIPA application that of to be informed if there are any further letters/faxes/emails from NSW Police to Ombudsman NSW that are in subject of myself and/or S/2015/51 and if so from which department of NSW Police.

I thanked and did compliment in a telephone discussion with Ms Jo Flanagan on the 13th, the comprehensiveness of her 21 December 2015 correspondence that included, suggestion I make a GIPA application too NSW Police directly for all three requests. Ms Flanagan declared in her lettered reply that to approach NSW Police directly may have GIPA sections that potentially may block my requests. With such I definitively fail and shall continue in fail to understand the presently decision held by Ombudsman NSW, that to not provide me in the minimum with a Yes or No answer and if yes to be informed from which department.

Regarding the third of my GIPA request that of to be informed if there are any letters/faxes/emails from any other government agencies/departments/parties too Ombudsman NSW that are about me and/or S/2015/51.

I close informing that I shall fail and shall continue in fail to understand a decision by you - Professor John McMillan Acting Ombudsman NSW, a closed decision too in the minimum not declare with a Yes or No answer and if yes from whom.

From
Craig Azshion

15-2-2016
Refer: GI/2016/2

To
Chris Wheeler
Deputy Ombudsman
Aunty Ombudsman NSW

Refer your 21 December 2015 correspondence date signed 22/1/2016.

Page 2. Internal review decision

10th February 2016

To
Administrative Review Officer
NSW Civil & Administrative Tribunal

Seek review by NCAT too the decision by Ombudsman NSW to deny a request for information and documentation under the Government Information (Public Access) Act 2009 (GIPA)

Relevant document sought: To Ombudsman NSW

From: Professional Standards Unit Manager Greg Edwards
State Crime Command NSW Police

Relevant information sought: To be informed if there are any other letters/faxes/e-mails from NSW Police to Ombudsman NSW that are in subject ov myself and or S/2015/51 and if so from which department ov NSW Police.

Relevant information sought: To be informed if there are any letters/faxes/e-mails from any other government agencies/departments/parties to Ombudsman NSW that are in subject ov myself and or S/2015/51 and if so from which government agency/department/party.

GROUNDS FOR APPLICATION:

Too my three attempts at obtaining information and the document, Ombudsman NSW cited Section 19 – Part 2 – Schedule 2 ov GIPA and Section 43 ov GIPA.

In my second GIPA request (14-12-2015) that was only in counter to the then informed Section 19 as cause; I state - that I recognised Ombudsman NSW has position to not forth copy ov the requested document; yet declaring that I remain in my rights under GIPA to be provided in entirety my GIPA requests, done under a request restructure and under GIPA sections 73(2); 74 and section 80(i) (g). Ombudsman NSW in no part addressed this position that I hold, responding in not re-citing section 19 but only citing section 43 and referencing schedule 2. My (14-1-2016) request for internal review was responded by the Deputy Ombudsman who cited Section 43 ov GIPA and Schedule 2 ov GIPA.

Specific groundings I hold under GIPA and the authority ov NCAT, that Ombudsman NSW is to be instructed too provide in full, the all ov my three separate GIPA requests is as follows.

I regard my requests to be in accord with correct application process under Part 4 – Access applications (GIPA).

While in first reading it may be deemed that Section 43 – Schedule 2 ov GIPA has Ombudsman NSW full clad too not consent my GIPA requests; there are a number ov standings within GIPA that contrafry and open avenues to authorise consent.

These include: **Part 1 – Preliminary**

3 Object of Act (1) (a) (b) (c)

Part 2 – Open government information – general principles

Division 1 – Ways of accessing government information

7 Authorised proactive release of government information

(1) (4) (5)

- (4) relevant to my standing under GIPA sections 73(2);74 and 80(i).
- (5) relevant that my request for a internal review was addressed correctly
Schedule 4 Interpretative provisions 1 Definitions "principal officer".

9 Access applications

(1) (3)

(1) – in accordance with Part 4 .

I regard that my application makings are adequate and comply with Part 4. Part 4 has section 43 and as already referred, Ombudsman NSW seems fully protected.

Weighed with all ov the above is the fact that the principal officer ov Ombudsman NSW did not conduct the internal review and within the internal review explanation was a declaration that Ombudsman NSW [has no discretion to release excluded information].

GIPA Schedule 2 Excluded information of particular agencies

First paragraph – (unless the agency consents to disclosure)

GIPA Schedule 1 Information for which there is conclusive presumption of overriding public interest against disclosure

6 Excluded information (1) (3)

(1) [other than information that the agency has consented to the disclosure of.]

(3) In first reading (3) further clads Ombudsman NSW.

I reestablish in this grounding.

- My first lot ov specific grounding under GIPA.

- The GIPA internal review was not authorised by the principal officer.

- That in the internal review decision I was clearly deceived in two critical points declared by the Deputy Ombudsman. The first that Ombudsman NSW has no discretionary power to release excluded information. The second was the closing ov the Deputy Ombudsmans letter. [I should point out that an external review can only look at whether your application was valid and not whether any documents should be released to you.]

Further is: In my request for internal review under GIPA to the Acting Ombudsman (14-1-2016) 4th paragraph first page, I declared too have much vindication to obtain a copy/read ov the requested letter and I outline this vindication.

I accompany copy ov My Request for Review in S/2015/51. Within establishes the overwhelming vindication that documents evidence; that the letter from Greg Edwards NSW Police too myself, contains utterly false and ludicrous facts allayed in a manner to imply that I be fragile/unstable in clarity ov mind and testament and is a perversion ov my pursuit for justice. Further on in my (14-1-2016) request for a internal review under GIPA, I lay out a number ov points that establish a strong position when under a objective interpretation ov GIPA avenues to obtain documents/information. The two main holdings been vindication and that I am not a external third party making the GIPA request but in fact am the filer ov complaint. This returns totally relevant too GIPA Part 1-Preliminary 3 **Object of Act.**

From
Craig Azshion

10th March 2016
Ref: D16/00219

To
Lee Evans MP

Thankyou for your 24 February 2016 response to my inquiries/issue regarding Ombudsman NSW. I understand the restrictions the Committee on the Ombudsman, The Police Integrity Commission and The Crime Commission and its Chair may have. It is however, yourself is also a Parliament of New South Wales MP.

Accompanied is three A4 envelopes.

Envelope 1 Documentation relevant to Ombudsman NSW case number S/2015/51.

Envelope 2 Two letters relevant to former Justice Wood; a letter to The Premier and a letter to The Deputy Premier.

Envelope 3 Documentation between myself and NSW Police/Strikeforce ANCUD.

Statute completion is near for the new independent police complaints commission.

The statute relevant to the new independent police complaints commission must have a retrospect clause that back dates any unsatisfied filed complaints made to The Police Integrity Commission or Ombudsman NSW. I suggest back-dated too the date Mr Wood became a Justice. Ombudsman NSW case number S/2015/51 cannot be ignored. The legacy ov failure by Ombudsman NSW to investigate (and in no doubt upon such; present a Special Report to Parliament declaring a need for a Royal Commission), if not addressed by the new investigative commission; have that legacy destroy all credibility within the new independent commission and annihilate any political that was in knowledge ov S/2015/51, that who stated a heralding ov new integrity and service for the people.

What is the status ov complaints made to Ombudsman NSW that have failed to satisfy the complainent ; there thus, can such complaints be forwarded too the new investigative commission?

Regarding the letter too Premier Baird. I bring this to your attention so to attain further; to have recognised; that correction to a wrong course ov action by in general, all political parties and members within the Parliament of New South Wales shall be conducted.

That letter is also in your attention due to your 24 February 2016 reference number. Correlation will be recognised upon your reading.

From
Craig Azshion

10th March 2016

To
The Deputy Premier
Minister for Justice and Police

Firstly congratulations on your rise into parliament.

I print forth taking a stated declaration laid directly at the Premier; that upon been elected - yourself shall be one step behind him, prepared to enact; upon knowledge ov sick wrong and sick evil.

Deputy Premier this letter is it:

My former family name was Astill:

Within a GIPA application too Ombudsman NSW: [Aunty Ombudsman ov The Family].

A Murdoch Sky Racing presenter: "Our puppet".

Without question ov doubt said in sub context ov Police Commissioner Scipione.

Puppets corner:

Puppets corner been where NSW Detectives and administrators drink coffee at the corner ov Charles Street.

I was a groomsman at a Catholic mates wedding: Both his sisters were also very good friends. His sister Dana, who had just become a probationary constable for NSW Police was killed in a purported car accident on her way to work.

I had a Uncle Johnny: Uncle Johnnies name was John Baddus. He neither drank or smoked. He was killed in a purported accident while working for St George County Council. Cause described as careless error using a gas bottle in a underground cable pit. I believe the gas bottles ov that time were supplied by AGL.

I had a relationship with a woman who had two girls: I soon shall learn whether or not it is true or not; that a few years after we separated, one ov her girls was killed in a purported car accident.

4-3-2016: NSW Deputy Commissioner Nick Kalatas announces his retirement. In his address to ABC 24 he in no context spoke - "**Proud ov the catholic lamb**". It is beyond me to not want to have learnt, who the Catholic Lamb is. I have it at 2 distinct possibilities as to who the catholic lamb is. Me or you.

Are you aware all be it without a as yet direct confession: The Alex McKinnon tackle was a pre-match instruction. Alex was going to be the NRL's lamb; the NRL sacrifice.

In no context: "It was for all of us". Spoken by a member of the Footy Show Panel on the Alex McKinnon tribute night.

In no context: "Our sins" Spoken by Wayne Bennett during same tribute night.

On the panels desk. A tissue box with a panda bear on its covering.

Newcastle Knights colours Red and Blue.

Malaysian Airlines colours Red and Blue.

Glasgow Commonwealth Games stadium colours Red and Blue.

The NRL's Players Association telephone number ends in 666.

My NAB ATM card number: 560251 236 556 1666

NAB is owned by the Bank of Scotland.

Princess Dianna killed aged 36.

"Oh it's Newcastle": The only words spoken aloud by a non-uniformed member of the NSW Police Force in the foyer of State Crime Command Centre on 20th March 2013.

That member spoke this in the completion of a ten minute chat, with a formally attired aboriginal woman of late twenties early thirties. That aboriginal woman received from the same member, a A4 envelope which looked to hold twenty to thirty A4 pages.

The description of this member is that he has a build similar to yourself with a distinctive blood filled type cyst near of between his eyes and he be of his mid-fifties.

The President of Ukraine on his visit to Australia: Surprisingly he was wearing a Soviet Russian red tie. He while in chat with then Australian Prime Minister Tony Abbott; spoke in no context.

"Our little warm bodies" said in ill grin.

Of same time a eleven year old girl reported missing with no follow up.

News story. Commonwealth Minister for Health Peter Dutton, standing beside a empty hospital bed that had on its bed post, the number eleven.

NRL Footy Show had a segment with Erin Mollen and another host playing some type of blind-man's game in a shipping container. Audioed into this scene was a terrified cry; not from a girl child actress but the cry/scream of a semi-conscious child/girl in a container confined terrifying reality.

New Australian Red Cross donor appeal ad on television with 11 in the telephone number.

Here Come the Habibs: Episode exposing how the Habibs acquired their wealth with a \$22 million lottery win. Same week announced was a new leader of the National Party and that they now have 22 elected members.

The eve of the 2nd anniversary of MH370: 239 passengers and crew on board.

The total of the Powerball numbers drawn = 139.

Number 37 a prime number in the Bowraville sacrifices.

Is it correct the Malaysian Prime Minister was 70 in 2014?

Accompanied is four A4 envelopes:

Envelope 1 Documents between myself and NSW Police/Strikeforce ANCUD.

Envelope 2 Documents between myself and Ombudsman NSW.

Envelope 3 Two letters relevant too Justice Wood.

Envelope 4 Letter too The Premier and a letter too Lee Evans MP.

Deputy Premier you are going to need help from within the parliament and out:

For? Strikeforce Destruct The Family alias The 666 Trilogy.

I suggest. Confide your intentions and this here letter to at least two confidantes separate from each other. Directly contact Lee Evans; the Greens; the Shooters and Fishers party and approach the ABC's news and political department mindful that Greg Jennet ABC's head political editor on 2nd March 2016 spoke in no context **"Our politicians got away with it"**.

Field for a contingent ov officers within NSW Police and the AFP.

Do such not in a clandestine manner but do such in a full frontal approach.

I inform that I avail myself to be a principle consultant for such a Strikeforce and declare my position is I regard critical that we are introduced as soon as possible.

To Not do such shall establish:

Either you are a entrapped member ov The Family alias The 666 Trilogy.

Or a wilful member ov The Family alias The 666 Trilogy.

That you are the catholic lamb.

I shall await 41 days from the date ov the here-with for reciprocation.

From

Craig Azshion

Contact Address:

Mr Craig Azshion

2/28 Kindilan Place Miranda

NSW 2228

Received on the 10th March 2016 at Parliament House of New South Wales a:

TB4 Postpak addressed to: Lee Evans MP

from: Mr Craig Azshion

Signature/Stamp:

Received on 10th March 2016 at Parliament House of New South Wales a:

A TB4 PostPak addressed to: The Deputy Premier of NSW and Minister for Justice and Police

From: Mr Craig Azshion

Signature/Stamp:

Received on the 10th March 2016 at NSW Parliament House:

TB4 Postpak addressed to: Member of the NSW Legislative Council
David Shoebridge MLC

from: Mr Craig Azshion

Signature/Stamp:

10th March 2016

To
David Shoebridge MLC
Leader of The NSW Greens
Member of The NSW Legislative Council

My understanding is that you have been a advocate demanding justice regarding the Bowraville sacrifices.

Accompanied is 3A4 envelopes:

Envelope 1 Documents between myself and Strikeforce ANCUJ.

Envelope 2 Documents between myself and Ombudsman NSW.

Envelope 3 Two letters concerning former Justice Wood; a letter to Lee Evans MP; a letter to The Premier; a letter to The Deputy Premier Minister for Justice and Police.

I request a reply informing your position upon been in knowledge ov the information presented. Knowing the immensity ov the documentation I understand a time span is required. I shall await 41 days for your full reply or a reply declaring your at then position regarding the information presented.

Failure to not, shall have me only in one conclusion.

You are either: A entrapped member ov The Family alias The 666 Trilogy or a wilful member ov The Family alias The 666 Trilogy.

From
Craig Azshion

Contact:

Mr Craig Azshion
2/28 Kindilan Place Miranda
NSW 2228

